



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

D

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,615	02/21/2002	Kazuhiro Sato	450100-3922.2	2828
20999	7590	06/01/2007	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			YIMAM, HARUN M	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2623				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/01/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/081,615	SATO, KAZUHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harun M. Yimam	2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2007.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 25-36 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 25-36 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/12/2007.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 02/12/07 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 26 – 29 are objected to because of the following informalities:
They depend on a cancelled claim 1. The examiner believes that it was the Applicant's intention for claims 26 – 29 to be dependent upon the newly claimed independent claim 25. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 25, 27 – 30 and 32 – 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Henmi (US 5,552,833).

Considering claims 25, 30, 35 and 36, Henmi discloses a system and a corresponding method for controlling a recording module, comprising:

receiving means (reception means A of figures 9 and 16) for receiving a particular format file transmitted through a network (television-program table information is transmitted using teletext formats in a coding transmission system. Reservation of video recording is effected by making use of the transmitted television-program table information—column 4, lines 1-14); said particular format file including text based control commands (control signals generated from the received character signals / teletext in a coding transmission system—column 4, lines 1-14, column 6, lines 4-14 and column 11, line 54 – column 12, line 12);

extracting means (1 in figures 9 and 16) for extracting at least one of said text based control commands from the received particular format file (column 6, lines 6-12 and column 11, lines 54-62); and

control means (comparator means 22 of figure 9) for controlling said recording module based on the extracted text based control commands, wherein said control means uses a timer reservation function to reserve an operation time of said recording module (column 6, line 62 – column 7, line 10).

With regards to claims 27 and 32, Henmi discloses that said operation time of said recording module is stored in a memory (5 in figures 9 and 16—column 6, lines 4-14 and column 12, lines 1-8).

Regarding claims 28 and 33, Henmi discloses that said recording module is a video recording module (column 4, line 49 – column 5, line 14 and column 6, lines 52-67).

Considering claims 29 and 34, Henmi discloses that said recording module is a television program recording module (column 4, line 49 – column 5, line 14 and column 6, lines 52-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henmi (US 5,552,833) in view of Sartain (US 5,914,712).

As for claims 26 and 31, Henmi fails to disclose that said network through which the data is received is the Internet.

In analogous art, Sartain disclose that said network is the Internet.
(column 7, lines 14-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Henmi's system to include said network being the Internet, as taught by Sartain, for the typical benefit of transferring data to anywhere in the world by taking advantage of the global network comprising millions of interconnected computers.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harun M. Yimam whose telephone number is 571-272-7260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HMY



ANDREW Y. KOENIG
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER